



Lahontan Basins Regional Water Management Group GOVERNING BOARD MEETING

City of Susanville Council Chambers
66 North Lassen Street, Susanville, CA 96130

May 19, 2016 - 3:00 p.m.

Addressing the Board

- Any person desiring to address the Board shall first secure permission of the presiding officer.
- Matters under the jurisdiction of the Board, and not on the Agenda, may be addressed by the public at a time provided in the Agenda under Public Comment
- The Board of Directors will not take action on any subject that is not on the Agenda

1 CALL TO ORDER

2 ROLL CALL BOARD OF DIRECTORS

3 AGENDA APPROVAL

4 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Approval of minutes from the February 18, 2016 meeting.

5 CORRESPONDENCE: None.

6 PUBLIC COMMENT

(any person may address the Board at this time to comment on any subject not on the agenda. However, the Board may not take action other than to direct staff to agendize the matter at a future meeting.)

7 MATTERS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION:

- A. Proposition 84 Planning Grant
 - Grant Close-Out Report Update
- B. Proposition 84 Implementation Grant
 - Update from DWR regarding the Implementation Grant Agreement
- C. Proposition 1 DAC Grant
 - Lahontan Area Draft DAC Proposal
- D. Proposition 1 Planning Grant
 - Lahontan Basins IRWM Planning Grant Update
- E. Proposition 1 Implementation Grant
 - Lahontan Area Proposed Funding Breakdown

8 BOARD MEMBER ISSUES/REPORTS:

9 PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS (if any): Any person may address the Board at this time upon any discussion item under consideration during Closed Session.

10 CLOSED SESSION: None.

I, Ian R. Sims, certify that I caused to be posted notice of the regular meeting scheduled for May 19, 2016, in the areas designated on May 13, 2016.



Ian R. Sims, Project Manager

**Regional Water Management Group
Regular Meeting Minutes
February 18, 2016 – 3:00 p.m.
City of Susanville Council Chambers
66 North Lassen Street, Susanville CA 96130**

Meeting was called to order at 3:13 p.m. by Mr. Sims

Roll Call of Board of Directors Present: Joe Egan, Dan Newton, Aaron Brazzanovich Absent: Jesse Claypool

Staff Present: Jared Hancock, City Administrator and Ian Sims, Project Manager

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Motion by Board Member Egan, second by Board Member Newton to approve the agenda as posted; motion carried unanimously.

- **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** Motion by Board Member Egan, second by Board member Newton to approve January 21, 2016 RWMG Meeting Minutes as posted; motion carried unanimously.
- **CORRESPONDENCE:** None.
- **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None.
- **MATTERS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION:**

- **Proposition 84 Planning Grant Update**

Mr. Sims updated the RWMG regarding the current MOU approval progress amongst the respective agencies. All RWMG member agencies have approved the MOU with the exemption of the Susanville Indian Rancheria. Mr. Brazzanovich (SIR) presented a few concerns the Tribal attorney drafted. After Mr. Brazzanovich presented the Tribe's MOU legal concerns it was determined that they were comments not resulting in MOU language revisions. It was offered to the SIR to have the City of Susanville draft a formal response to these comments to better direct the SIR. Mr. Brazzanovich will call a special meeting with the SIR to approve the MOU as the February 28th deadline looms.

- **Proposition 84 Implementation Grant Update**

Mr. Sims provided an update to the RWMG of the status of all projects and project proponents. All project proponents are familiarizing themselves with State (DWR) requirements. LLTT and Spalding CSD will submit their projects as is from the original grant application. The City of Susanville is in the process of amending their project scope and budget to fall within the reduced grant allocation.

- **Proposition 1 Storm Water Grant Program**

Mr. Sims presented the board with a review of the Storm Water Grant Program (SWGPP). Mr. Sims suggests that this region apply for funding to draft a Storm Water Resource Plan with the RWMG's support. The SWGP is a Prop 1 funded grant program administered by the CA Water Board and piggy backs off the IRWM approach and basic guidelines.

With a Storm Water Resource Plan in place the Region can apply to fund various storm water/flood projects with subsequent implementation grant rounds under Prop. 1.

- **BOARD MEMBER ISSUES/REPORTS:** None.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

Vacant - RWMG Chair

Respectfully Submitted by



Ian Sims, Project Manager

Lahontan Area Disadvantaged Community Involvement Funding Proposal Process

- Funding available: \$2.45 million, which equates to 10% of Lahontan funding area allocation
 - This has been confirmed by Melissa Sparks

DAC Proposal Scenarios	Mojave	Mono Inyo	Antelope Valley	Tahoe Sierra	Lahontan Basins	Fremont Valley	Sums
DAC Funding split w/ Fremont included	\$665,383.95	\$397,205.98	\$604,381.78	\$279,221.04	\$274,620.41	\$229,186.84	\$ 2,450,000.00
Fremont share split using formula (\$213,143)	\$ 66,063.55	\$ 40,976.67	\$ 60,357.07	\$ 29,939.69	\$ 29,509.32		
DAC funding split without Fremont, distributed according to formula	\$ 731,447.51	\$ 438,182.65	\$ 664,738.85	\$ 309,160.74	\$ 304,129.73		\$ 2,447,659.47

- Inyo-Mono IRWM Program Office staff will be the point people for DWR and liaison among DWR, CRWA (grantee), and IRWM regions
 - Mark and Holly will determine division of labor between them for the purposes of the proposal
 - Holly will also eventually be the project coordinator for the 5/6-region grant as well as the lead for the Inyo-Mono portion of the project
- Each IRWM region will designate 1-2 representatives to be point people for their regional project proposals
- **Proposal Development/Coordination Tasks (coordination with CRWA takes place throughout):**
 - a. Each region will develop an initial list of project tasks
 - b. Inyo-Mono staff will look for commonalities among work plans to propose common tasks
 - c. Holly and Mark will visit each region during proposal process to discuss project work and overall proposal (or, alternatively, hold region-wide in-person meeting)
 - d. It will be necessary to wait for final Guidelines and RFP before developing final proposal materials
 - e. Holly will develop template documents for proposal
 - f. Holly will lead coordination and compilation of all Lahontan IRWM regional proposals; Mark will assist with synthesizing and integrating proposal materials
 - g. Each region will then develop a full work plan (activity descriptions), statement of qualifications, budget, and schedule, according to the requirements of the RFP
 - h. Regions will work with Inyo-Mono to develop the DAC Background section
 - i. Check-in calls with DWR to discuss proposal materials as necessary
 - j. At least one representative from each region will be available to meet with DWR in the summer to discuss the proposal

- **Budget for proposal development/coordination:**
 - Proposal development/coordination costs (for Mark, Holly, or regional staff) will be reimbursable as part of the grant as of a certain date
 - Development of Inyo-Mono project portion of proposal will be covered by local monies
 - Each region will contribute \$4,000 towards Inyo-Mono's proposal coordination efforts, for a total of \$20,000-24,000, depending on the number of regions participating
 - Allowance for alternative contribution for proposals that are largely complete and/or simple?
 - Payments to go to Eastern California Water Association
 - This figure includes travel costs
 - ~1% of grant amount
 - 1st payment June 1; balance due July 1
 - Again, these should be reimbursable expenses
- **Preliminary timeline:**
 - Feedback on this proposal by April 22
 - Statement of purpose and intended accomplishments, and associated list of project tasks: May 13 (reference draft RFP)
 - Mark and Holly visit regions: weeks of May 16 and 23
 - Final Guidelines and RFP released: late May?
 - Final proposal submitted: late June?
 - Regional meeting with DWR: late July/early August?

Suggestions for putting work plans together:

- Look at results and recommendations from previous DAC pilot studies
- Recommendations from December 2014 seven-region DAC workshop
- CRWA menu of services and scope of work from Mojave region

Scenarios	Mojave	Mono Inyo	Antelope Valley	Tahoe Sierra	Lahontan Basins	Fremont Valley
Equal Split	\$3,797,500	\$3,797,500	\$3,797,500	\$3,797,500	\$3,797,500	\$3,797,500
Population Split	\$9,853,738.68	\$1,532,060.79	\$8,769,151.97	\$1,465,010.50	\$756,800.30	\$408,237.75
Area Split	\$3,478,252.77	\$11,824,412.57	\$2,143,398.05	\$1,120,014.79	\$3,524,996.71	\$693,925.12
2-Way Split Pop / Equal (used for Prop 84)	\$6,825,019.34	\$2,664,700.39	\$4,283,326.99	\$2,631,255.25	\$2,277,150.15	\$2,102,868.88
2-Way Split Pop/Area	\$6,665,965.72	\$6,678,236.68	\$5,456,275.01	\$1,292,512.65	\$2,140,898.50	\$551,081.44
2-Way Split Area/Equal	\$3,637,876.38	\$7,810,956.28	\$2,970,449.02	\$2,458,757.39	\$3,661,248.35	\$2,245,712.56
3-Way Split Pop/Area/Equal	\$5,708,830.48	\$5,717,981.12	\$4,903,350.01	\$2,127,508.43	\$2,663,099.00	\$1,633,220.96
3-Way Split (50% eq, 30% pop, 20% a)	\$5,550,522.16	\$4,723,250.75	\$4,958,175.20	\$2,562,256.11	\$2,830,769.43	\$2,160,006.35
3-Way Split (50% eq, 35% pop, 15% a)	\$5,869,296.45	\$4,208,633.16	\$5,289,462.90	\$2,579,505.89	\$2,692,379.61	\$2,145,721.98
3-Way Split (50% eq, 40% pop, 10% a)	\$6,188,070.75	\$3,694,015.57	\$5,620,750.59	\$2,596,755.68	\$2,553,969.79	\$2,131,437.61
						\$22,785,000.00

* Does not include DAC funding, does not account for DWR admin costs