HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY

GOVERNING BOARD
BRIAN WILSON, PRESIDENT
JIM CHAPMAN, VICE PRESIDENT
NICK MCBRIDE, BOARD MEMBER
DAVID MESERVE, BOARD MEMBER
VACANT, BOARD MEMBER (COUNTY)

STAFF
JARED G. HANCOCK, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
HEIDI WHITLOCK, PROJECT MANAGER
NANCY CARDENAS, TREASURER

HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY
GOVERNING BOARD MEETING

City Council Chambers
66 North Lassen Street, Susanville, CA 96130

January 6, 2015 - 3:00 p.m.

Addressing the Board
- Any person desiring to address the Board shall first secure permission of the presiding officer.
- Matters under the jurisdiction of the Board, and not on the Agenda, may be addressed by the public at a time provided in the Agenda under Public Comment
- The Board of Directors will not take action on any subject that is not on the Agenda

---

1 **CALL TO ORDER**

2 **ROLL CALL BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

3 **AGENDA APPROVAL**

4 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** Approval of minutes from the December 2, 2014 meeting.

5 **CORRESPONDENCE:** None.

6 **PUBLIC COMMENT**
(any person may address the Board at this time to comment on any subject not on the agenda. However, the Board may not take action other than to direct staff to agendize the matter at a future meeting.)

7 **MATTERS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION:**

A. Review updated Timeline
B. Award Abatement and Demolition contract

8 **BOARD MEMBER ISSUES/REPORTS:**

9 **PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS** (if any): Any person may address the Board at this time upon any discussion item under consideration during Closed Session.

10 **CLOSED SESSION:** None.

A. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT – pursuant to Government Code §54957:
Legal Counsel Services

- ***The next meeting will be held on January 20, 2015 at 3:00 p.m.***

I, Heidi Whitlock, certify that I caused to be posted notice of the regular meeting scheduled for January 6, 2015, in the areas designated on January 2, 2015.

Heidi Whitlock, Project Manager

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4A

Submitted By: Jared G. Hancock, Executive Officer

Action Date: January 6, 2015

AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Minutes of the HLVRA December 2, 2014, meeting.

SUMMARY: Attached for the Board's review are the minutes of the HLVRA December 2, 2014, meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

ACTION REQUESTED: Approve minutes for December 2, 2014.

ATTACHMENTS: Minutes: December 2, 2014.

HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY
GOVERNING BOARD MEETING
Regular Meeting Minutes
December 2, 2014 – 3:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers 66 North Lassen Street Susanville CA 96130

Meeting was called to order at 3:02 p.m. by President Wilson.

Roll Call of Board of Directors present: Dave Meserve, Larry Wosick, Nick McBride, Jim Chapman & Brian Wilson.

Staff Present: Jared Hancock, Executive Officer, Heidi Whitlock, Project Manager.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Motion by Board member Meserve, second by Board member Wosick to approve the agenda as posted; motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Motion by Vice President Chapman, second by Board member Meserve to approve the minutes from the September 16, 2014, meeting; motion carried unanimously. Abstentions: Wosick and McBride.
Motion by Board member Wosick, second by Board member McBride to approve the minutes from the October 21, 2014, meeting; motion carried unanimously. Abstention: Meserve.

5 CORRESPONDANCE: None.

6 PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

7 MATTERS FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION:

7A Financing Options

Mr. Hancock states that, based on the discussion from the last meeting, staff has reached out to both the City and the County for up front construction costs but it was not looking promising. We also looked into funding opportunities from the USDA and CDBG programs. Since that time, staff have had discussions with both the City and County and found that there is still some interest for them to provide the upfront financing of construction costs. We will continue to work with them and try to bring back an update for the next meeting.

Vice President Chapman responds that he is more in favor of trying to get City and County funding before looking at outside sources as he would rather not owe as much interest. He suggests taking it to those Boards to try to secure the funding. He feels he's in the minority but would like to stress his view.

7B Review Results – Phase I & II Analysis

Mr. Hancock states, in regards to the Phase I & II analysis, we were supposed to get the results today but they have been delayed. H&K is stating they will have the report by the end of next week. I will contact them as I know both myself and the County CAO would like to see the results come back as clean. We do know that there were traces of diesel at the end of one of the tanks and below both of the product lines but at concentrations well below the Environmental screening levels. We plan to have the results on the next agenda.

7C Review Preliminary Site Design Options

Mr. Hancock states that, again, this is only the preliminary concept for the design. We have included four design options. Two are the 2B option from the last meeting with adjustments along with two "L" shaped pools. We have included designs showing the building both parallel and perpendicular to South Street. I think we've said it but I think it's worth

stating again, this is simply to see if the proposed items would fit in the space and at what cost. I know for each of these construction cost line items, we may know someone who could do it cheaper etc... but, these are estimates and are on the high end for construction. Before construction, we can look at other options for filter systems etc... Also, costs are lower in these designs because we have taken out half of the paved parking, as requested at the last meeting. It's now partially decomposed granite. The site prep and grading is also included in those costs so there are some items aggregated in other line items. Mr. Hancock also asked if the Board like any other information/clarification?

President Wilson opens it to everyone as he feels the breakdowns are clear.

Vice President Chapman states that he is not sure whether or not it was discussed but, the issue was raised and he likes the idea of the "L" shaped pool. He knows that ADG are the experts and that they thought these items were the best but, he is worried about the prevailing wind issues etc... which he felt were significant. He states that he likes the designs but they are fully exposed to the winds. He wanted to see the pool on the east side instead of the west side. Only one design shows some sort of protection from the wind and he would like to know why they never considered this and why they have never been looked into. He asked why his suggestions had been ignored.

Mr. Hancock responds that both 1A and 2A were supposed to reflect the comments that Vice President Chapman had made. On the far-east side of the property, there are constraints such as the sheriff's shed, and access to it. We also thought the requested shift was only 90 degrees not 180 degrees. Vice President Chapman stated that no follow up or questions were ever asked to clarify. Mr. Hancock stated that he thought we understood but, apparently, not.

Vice President Chapman describes were he would like to see the pool be built and shows the map to the Board while doing so. Place the building on the west side then the pool then the school. The building will help hide the public works' yards which Board member McBride had previously stated that he didn't like seeing anyway. Mr. Hancock described some of the changes that Vice President Chapman wanted to see on the next set of options. He thinks we now have enough information from the Board and the design firm to finalize this prior to creating the construction plans. Vice President Chapman added that we are also discussing the acquisition of the jog of land by the sheriff's shed and that, since we don't know what the future holds, we need to leave room for future generations for growth options. Mr. Hancock added that some of the issues presented could be alleviated with the addition of landscaping, windbreaks etc... and we will want to supply all sorts of options. Vice President Chapman states that if this is the only option, then fine. But, I'd like to see others. Board member Wosick agrees that he would also like to see these mentioned options in drawing form. Preferably at the next meeting as there would be quite a dramatic change. Vice President Chapman states that cost should be about the same. President Wilson asks is we can at least narrow it done some, such as we know we want the "L" shape?

Board member McBride discussed his concern with only paving a portion of the parking area. The needed parking can be decreased but it should all be paved. If the majority of the Board prefers 2B than I would work with that but, I'd want the pool pushed back and have the entire lot paved. I don't want the building and pool landlocked and lose the ability to expand it at a later date.

Vice President Chapman asks Mr. Hancock if the current parking lot design is geared to immediate needs or for future needs as well. Mr. Hancock responds that there are two answers to that question. The City improvement standards state that it should all be paved. However, there are no standards for a 7,000 square foot pool requiring a particular number of spaces. It's still a case-by-case basis. The designer stated this phase would be accommodated by this design but more parking would be needed in the future. Vice President Chapman states that he cannot agree to pave for the future needs. I hear what everyone is saying but I don't really agree. We have the space, we can have it for overflow and we can pave it later if needed. Overbuilding now, I'm not in favor of. The future Boards can pave it if needed.

Mr. Hancock inquires, for clarification purposes, are we just paving the needed parking or are we also adding decomposed granite also? Vice President Chapman states we should pave what we need today. If we need more at a later date we can add it then. We are already struggling and over budget. We went from 1 million to 2.5 million to now 3.3 million. I'm worried with the escalation of the budget as it keeps going up. We will lose more of the community and I'm struggling to accept this.

Board member McBride suggests removing the 16 parking spaces to the east and 9 spaces to the south.

Tony Jonas (public) inquires as to whether or not the "L:" shaped pool was at a 12' depth. Is it an option? Has it been included? President Wilson states that he believes it is strictly because of the price difference but he's not sure either. Mr. Jonas then suggests moving the maintenance building to the other side of the building because of ease of access for chemical delivery purposes. It would be easier to maneuver in the parking lot and with a chemical truck, we may not want that. The handicapped parking should also be closer to the front of the building, it's currently too far away.

Board member Wosick agreed with these points and states that we need to narrow all this information down and tweak it for the best option. President Wilson suggests narrowing it down to either option 1 or 2. Board member McBride states the "L" shaped is better. It's important to have a deep end for a 'fun factor". He then adds that he likes option 2B but move the chemical house to the west and the handicapped parking in front with grass for a more pleasing appearance.

Vice President Chapman also prefers the "L" shaped option. He adds that we can always have a warm water pool added later by other funding clubs such as Rotary. It's easier to raise $200,000 than $3 million. I feel comfortable with Option 2A. Board member Wosick states that he also likes the "L" shape option but either 2A or 2B are good. Vice President Chapman makes some good points but I'm happy to support either. Board member McBride adds that there is not adequate fire access to most of the building for option 2A if a fire breaks out. Board member Meserve states that he also likes the "L" shaped option although, he likes 2A a little better. His reasoning is in the orientation of the pool. He likes seeing the front of the building in the way it is currently orientated. President Wilson states that he also prefers the "L" shaped option best.

Motion by Board member Wosick to move forward with option 2A, Vice President Chapman seconds. President Wilson states that it looks as though it will be easier to put a roof over 2B. Vice President Chapman suggests again to change the orientation of the building and suggests changing the fire access. The northern exposure in the worst side to have it. There are pro's and con's on both sides. President Wilson asks if the Board can just state whether they can simply state "L" shape pool and have someone design another option focusing on the wind, sun etc... Board member Wosick agrees. Board member McBride states that 3 sides will have sun if Option 2B is chosen. Discussion occurs on amending the previously suggested motion. Mr. Hancock responds that reading the notes would be beneficial as that way we will know what we are adjusting for the next meeting. 1) "L" shaped pool 2) deep end with 12'6" 3) smaller, all paved parking lot 4) design 2A & 2B qualities with better accessibility to storage areas, fire access and handicapped parking 5) designed to leave significant space for expansion 6) designed taking into consideration shed, wind, views etc... 7) moving slightly to the west to provide more room for the entrance.

Motion by Board member Wosick, second by Vice President Chapman to have staff bring back a single site plan taking the above-mentioned items into account for one plan. Motion carried unanimously.

8 **BOARD MEMBER ISSUES/REPORTS:** None.

PUBLIC COMMENT TO CLOSED SESSION ITEMS: (if any): Any person may address the Board at this time upon any discussion during Closed Session.

Open session recessed at 4:09 p.m. closed session to commence in 5 minutes.

CLOSED SESSION: At 4:16 p.m. the Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority recessed to closed session to discuss the following:

A. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT: pursuant to Government Code §54957. – Legal Services

B. Conference with Real Property Negotiator: pursuant to Government Code §54956.8.

10 **RETURN TO OPEN SESSION:** At 4:35 p.m. the Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority reconvened in open session.

A. Direction to staff, no reportable action.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 4:37 p.m.

_____
Brian Wilson, President

Respectfully Submitted by

_____
Heidi Whitlock, Project Manager

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7A

Submitted By: Jared G. Hancock, Executive Officer

Action Date: January 6, 2015

AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Updated timeline

SUMMARY: The Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority, at its December 2, 2014 meeting, stated that they would like to see extra items added to the current timeline. Staff has made the requested changes and have brought back an updated timeline for review.

FISCAL IMPACT: None.

ACTION REQUESTED: None.

ATTACHMENTS: Updated timeline.

12th Meeting	06/03/2014		completed
13th Meeting	06/17/2014		completed
Final report for Roosevelt Pool	06/17/2014		completed
14th Meeting	07/01/2014		completed
15th Meeting	07/15/2014		completed
General Property Title Acquisition	08/05/2014		
Prep Purchase Agreement	08/05/2014		completed
Execute Purchase Agreement /Open Escrow	08/26/2014		completed
Phase 1 Analysis	09/26/2014		pending
Historic Use Analysis	09/26/2014		completed
Bulk Tank Assessment	09/26/2014		pending
Chain of Title Verification	09/26/2014		completed
Close Title Action	10/03/2014		
Close Escrow / Purchasing	10/03/2014		
16th Meeting	08/05/2014		completed
17th Meeting	08/19/2014		completed
Preliminary Design Contract Execution	08/20/2014		completed
18th Meeting	09/02/2014		completed
19th Meeting	09/16/2014		completed
Site Design Public Workshop	09/30/2014		completed
Construction Financing Options	10/07/2014		ongoing
Finalize Fundraising (nonprofit or JPA)	10/07/2014		pending
20th Meeting	10/07/2014		completed
Finalize Website	10/14/2014		on hold
21st Meeting	10/21/2014		completed
Preliminary Site Design - Board Workshop	11/04/2014		completed
22nd Meeting	11/04/2014		completed
Finalize Site Design	11/18/2014		completed
23rd Meeting	11/18/2014		completed
Release RFP for Abatement and Demo	11/21/2014		completed
24th Meeting	12/02/2014		completed
Board to Adopt Preliminary Site design	12/02/2014		completed
Begin CEQA review	12/03/2014		completed
25th Meeting	12/12/2014		completed
Open bids for Abatement and Demo 2:00 p.m.	12/18/2014		completed
26th Meeting	01/06/2015		
Award Abatement and Demo Contract	01/06/2015		
27th Meeting	01/20/2015		
Begin Abatement and Demo	01/30/2015		
Complete CEQA (pending Exemption Determination)	01/30/2015		
28th Meeting	02/03/2015		
Acquisition of Engineering Services	02/05/2015	03/25/2015	
Development of Plans & Specifications	02/05/2015	03/25/2015	
29th Meeting	02/17/2015		
30th Meeting	03/03/2015		
31st Meeting	03/17/2015		
Abatement Completed	03/31/2015		
32nd Meeting	04/07/2015		
Confirm City/County Financing	04/07/2014		
33rd Meeting	04/21/2015		
34th Meeting	05/05/2015		
35th Meeting	05/19/2015		
Circulate Plans & Specs for Construction Proposals	05/24/2015		
36th Meeting	06/02/2015		
Finalize Financing	06/30/2015		
Award Construction Contract	Summer/Fall 2015		
Begin Construction (Weather permitting)	Summer/Fall 2015		

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7B

Submitted By: Jared G. Hancock, Executive Officer

Action Date: January 6, 2015

AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: Award Abatement and Demolition contract

SUMMARY: The Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority went out to bid for the abatement and demolition of the old Roosevelt Pool property. Nine firms attended the pre-bid meeting held on December 11, 2014 and five firms submitted bids which were opened on December 18, 2014. After review of said bids, Leckey Land Clearing was the lowest responsible bidder.

FISCAL IMPACT: $213,280.00 & change orders up to 10% of contract amount.

ACTION REQUESTED: Review and award Abatement and Demolition contract and direct Executive Officer to sign contract after title is transferred. Authorize Executive Officer to approve change orders.

ATTACHMENTS: Agreement with Leckey Land Clearing
Leckey Land Clearing Proposal

CONSTRUCTION SERVICES AGREEMENT

BETWEEN
HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY
AND

LECKEY LAND CLEARING

THIS AGREEMENT is made between the HLVRA, and _____, a California corporation, with a principal place of business at, (hereinafter "CONTRACTOR")

This Agreement is made with reference to the following facts and circumstances:

WHEREAS HLVRA has the need for a CONTRACTOR to provide services related to the demolition of the Roosevelt Pool located at 800 South Street in Susanville, California and,

WHEREAS HLVRA desires to employ a CONTRACTOR to provide the services related to this project and,

WHEREAS CONTRACTOR is a qualified licensed Contractor in the State of California and desires to provide said services in conjunction with the project.

In consideration of the services to be rendered, the sums to be paid, and each and every covenant and condition contained herein, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. SERVICES

The CONTRACTOR shall provide those services described in Attachment "A". CONTRACTOR shall provide said services at the time, place and in the manner specified in Attachment "A".

2. TERM

The term of the agreement shall be per the provisions in Section 8-1.04, "Start of Job Activities," in Section 8-1.05, "Time" and Section 8-1.10, "Liquidated Damages," of the State of California, Department of Transportation, *Standard Specifications*, 2010 and Bid Book for 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project.

The Contractor shall begin work within 7 calendar days, once all required permits are obtained, after the date specified in the "Notice to Proceed" and shall diligently prosecute the same to completion before the expiration of

SIXTY (60) WORKING DAYS

Beginning on the date that work begins or beginning on the seventh calendar day after the date specified in the "Notice to Proceed," whichever occurs first.

The Contractor shall pay to the HLVRA the sum of $1,900 per day, for each and every calendar day delay in finishing the work in excess of the number of working days prescribed above. It is understood that this agreement for liquidated damages is entered into because the amount is manifestly reasonable under the circumstances existing at the time of this agreement and it would be extremely difficult or impossible to determine with any degree of accuracy the actual damages in case of any such breach. In case of such breach, it is agreed that the Owner may deduct the amount thereof from any money due or to become due said Contractor under this contract.

3. PAYMENT

HLVRA shall pay CONTRACTOR for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement at the time and in the amount set forth in Attachment "B". The payment specified in Attachment "B" shall be the only payment made to CONTRACTOR for

services rendered pursuant to this Agreement. CONTRACTOR shall submit all billing for said services to HLVRA in the manner specified in Attachment "B".

4. FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT AND OTHER MATERIALS AND OBLIGATIONS OF HLVRA

CONTRACTOR shall, at its sole cost and expense, furnish all facilities, equipment, and other materials which may be required for furnishing services pursuant to this Agreement.

HLVRA shall:

4.1 Provide program consultation and technical assistance to CONTRACTOR.

4.2 Monitor and evaluate CONTRACTOR's performance, expenditures and service levels for compliance with the terms of this Agreement.

4.3 Provide CONTRACTOR with reporting forms and/or formats and time frames for submission of reports.

4.4 Review all invoices submitted by CONTRACTOR for allowable costs and approve for payment as appropriate conditioned in the availability of state funds.

4.5 Retain ownership and have prompt access to any report, evaluations, preliminary findings, or data assembled/developed by CONTRACTOR under this Agreement.

5. 2014 ROOSEVELT POOL DEMOLITION PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS

2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project Specifications are unique to this Agreement as set forth in Attachment C and as modified by bid document addenda 1 & 2 and are made a part of this agreement therein.

6. DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVES

Jared G. Hancock, Executive Officer, is the designated representative of the HLVRA and will administer this Agreement for the HLVRA. _____is the authorized representative for CONTRACTOR. Changes in the designated representatives shall occur only by advance written notice to the other party.

7. ATTACHMENTS

All attachments referred to herein are attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein. Attachments include:

Attachment A - Services
Attachment B - Payment
Attachment C – Specifications for 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project
Attachment D - Addenda 1 and 2

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement on the dates shown opposite their respective signatures.

CONTRACTOR

Dated:_____

By:_____

Title:_____

By:_____

Title:_____

HLVRA
Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority

Dated: _____

By:_____

Jared G. Hancock
Executive Officer

Approved as to form:

_____

NOTARY CERTIFICATE REQUIRED

ATTACHMENT 'A': SCOPE OF SERVICES

AGREEMENT BETWEEN HLVRA AND LECKEY LAND CLEARING

SCOPE OF SERVICES

A.1 SCOPE OF SERVICES AND DUTIES.

The services to be provided by CONTRACTOR and the scope of CONTRACTOR's duties include the following:

A.1.1 Contractor shall furnish all materials, supplies, tools, equipment, labor, landfill tipping fees, permits, special inspections and testing (exclusive of initial backfill compaction) and any other services and expenses necessary to complete the project described in the Specifications for 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project.

A.1.1.1 The services in general, consist of the abatement, demolition and removal of the Roosevelt Pool located at 800 South Street in Susanville, California, per the 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project Specifications as modified by bid document addenda 1 & 2.

A.1.1.2 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project Specifications addenda are listed below and included in Attachment "D".

Addendum No.	Date
1	12/15/2014
2	12/16/2014

END OF ATTACHMENT "A"

ATTACHEMENT 'B': PAYMENT

AGREEMENT BETWEEN HLVRA AND LECKEY LAND CLEARING

PAYMENT

HLVRA shall pay CONTRACTOR as follows:

Compensation shall consist of the total bid price of $213,280.00 per the Contractor's proposal (Bid Schedule), attached hereto.

The Contractor shall accept the compensation provided in the contract as full payment for furnishing all labor, materials, tools, equipment, and incidentals necessary to the completed work and for performing all work contemplated and embraced under the contract per the conditions in Section 2 -19 "Payments to Contractor" of the Standard Specifications.

The total contract cost shall not exceed the total bid price of $213,280.00 unless written authorization is issued by the HLVRA through approved Contract Change Orders per the requirements in Section 2-13, of the Standard Specifications.

END OF ATTACHMENT "B"

NOTICE OF AWARD

TO: LECKEY LAND CLEARING
4963 MOUNTAIN LAKES BLVD
REDDING, CA 96003
ATTN: TROY LECKEY, PRESIDENT

Project Name and Number: HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY 2014 ROOSEVELT POOL DEMOLITION PROJECT, PROJECT NUMBER 14-01

The Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority (herein referred to as "OWNER") has considered the Bid submitted by you for the above described Work in response to its Advertisement for Bids dated December 18, 2014, and Information for Bidders.

You are hereby notified that your Bid has been accepted for items in the amount of TWO HUNDRED THIRTEEN THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND EIGHTY DOLLARS ($213,280) for the Base Bid.

You are required by the Information for Bidders to execute the Agreement and furnish the required Contractor's Faithful Performance Bond, Payment Bond, and certificates of insurance within ten (10) calendar days from the date this Notice was mailed or otherwise delivered.

If you fail to execute said Agreement and to furnish said Bonds within ten (10) calendar days from the date this Notice was mailed or otherwise delivered, said OWNER will be entitled to consider all your rights arising out of the OWNER'S acceptance of your Bid as abandoned and as a forfeiture of your Bid Bond. The OWNER will be entitled to such other rights as may be granted by law.

You are required to return an acknowledged copy of this Notice of Award to the OWNER.

Dated this 6th day of January, 2015.

Owner: HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY

By:_____ Title: HLVRA, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ACCEPTANCE OF NOTICE

Receipt of the above Notice of Award is hereby acknowledged by:

_____
(Name of Contractor)

Dated this_____ day of _____, 20 _____

By: _____

Title:_____

PROPOSAL TO THE HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY
CONTRACT NO. 14-01

(Because some colored inks will not reproduce in copy machines, please use black ink to complete this Proposal.)

Name of Bidder: LECKEY LAND CLEARING

Business P.O. Box _____

Business Address: 4963 MOUNTAIN LAKES BLVD.
(Please include even if P.O. Box used)

City, State, Zip: REDDING, CA 96003

Telephone No: 530-246-7699
(Include Area Code)

Fax No: 530-246-8674
(Include Area Code)

Contractor License No. 670526

The work for which this proposal is submitted is for construction in accordance with the 2014 Roosevelt Pool Project Specifications (including the payment of not less than the State General Prevailing Wage Rates), including any addenda thereto, the contract annexed hereto.

The project specifications for the work to be done are dated November 25, 2014 and are entitled:

HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATIONAL AUTHORITY
SPECIFICATIONS FOR
2014 ROOSEVELT POOL DEMOLITION PROJECT
PROJECT NUMBER
14-01

Bids are to be submitted for the entire work. The amount of the bid for comparison purposes will be the total of all items in Base Bid without consideration of Additive Bid. The bidder shall set forth for each unit basis item of work an item price and a total for the item, and for each lump sum item a total for the item, all in clearly legible figures in the respective spaces provided for this purpose. In the case of unit basis items, the amount set forth under the "Item Total" column shall be the product of the item price bid on the basis of the estimated quantity for the item.

In case of discrepancy between the unit price and the total set forth for a unit basis item, the unit price shall prevail, except as provided in (a) or (b), as follows:

(a) If the amount set forth as a unit price is unreadable or otherwise unclear, or is omitted, or is the same as the amount as the entry in the item total column, then the amount set forth in the item total column for the item shall prevail and shall be divided by the estimated quantity for the item and the price thus obtained shall be the unit price;

(b) Decimal Errors - If the product of the entered unit price and the estimated quantity is exactly off by a factor of ten, one hundred, etc., or one-tenth, or one-hundredth, etc. from the entered total, the discrepancy will be resolved by using the entered unit price or item total, whichever most closely approximates percentage wise the unit price or item total in the Department's Final Estimate of cost.

If both the unit price and the item total are unreadable or otherwise unclear, or are omitted, the bid may be deemed irregular. Likewise, if the item total for a lump sum item is unreadable or otherwise unclear, or is omitted, the bid may be deemed irregular unless the project being bid has only a single item and a clear, readable total bid provided. Symbols such as commas and dollar signs will be ignored and have no mathematical significance in establishing any unit price or item total or lump sums. Written unit prices, item totals and lump sums will be interpreted according to the number of digits and, if applicable, decimal placement. Cents symbols also have no significance in establishing any unit price or item total since all figures are assumed to be expressed in dollar and/or decimal fractions of a dollar. Bids on lump sum items shall be item totals only; if any unit price for a lump sum item is included in a bid and it differs from the item total, the items total shall prevail.

The foregoing provisions for the resolution of specific irregularities cannot be so comprehensive as to cover every omission, inconsistency, error or other irregularity which may occur in a bid. Any situation not specifically provided for will be determined in the discretion of the HLVRA, and that discretion will be exercised in the manner deemed by the HLVRA to best protect the public interest in the prompt and economical completion of the work. The decision of the HLVRA respecting the amount of a bid, or the existence or treatment of an irregularity in a bid, shall be final.

If this proposal shall be accepted and the undersigned shall fail to enter into the contract, furnish the bond in the sum required by the State Contract Act, with surety satisfactory to the HLVRA and certificates of insurance that may be required, within ten (10) days, not including Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays, after the bidder has received notice from the HLVRA that the contract has been awarded, the HLRVA may, at its option, determine that the bidder has forfeiture of such security accompanying this proposal and the same shall be the property of the HLVRA.

The undersigned, as bidder, declares that the only persons or parties interested in this proposal as principals are those named herein; that this proposal is made without collusion with any other person, firm, or corporation; that he has carefully examined the location of the proposed work, the annexed proposed form of contract, and the plans therein referred to; and he proposes, and agrees if this proposal is accepted, that he will contract with the HLVRA in the form of the copy of the contract annexed hereto, to provide all necessary machinery, tools, apparatus and other means of construction, and to do all the work and furnish all the materials specified in the contract, in the manner and time therein prescribed, and according to the requirements of the Engineer as therein set forth, and that he will take in full payment therefore the following item prices, to wit:

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

$150,000

2014 ROOSEVELT POOL DEMOLITION PROJECT

BASE BID

Item No.	Item Description	Unit of Measure	Estimated Quantity	Item	Total
1	DEMOLITION	LS	LUMP SUM		$ 213,280.00

TOTAL BASE BID AMOUNT: $ 213,280.00

The bidder shall list the name and address of each subcontractor to whom the Bidder proposes to subcontract portions of the work as required by the provisions in Section 2-1.33C, "Subcontracting" of the Standard Specifications. The Subcontractor List must be submitted with all other required bid forms.

REQUIRED LISTING OF SUBCONTRACTORS

(Pursuant to Section 4100 of the Public Contract Code)

Subcontractor (Name and Address)	Bid Item Number (s)	% of Bid Item (Describe portion of item subcontracted)	Dollar Value of Work
WARREN ASBESTOS P.O. BOX 310 BANGOR, CA 95914	1	12% HAZARDOUS ASBESTOS REMOVAL	$ 23,800 00

(The Bidder's execution of the signature portion of this Proposal shall also constitute an endorsement and execution of those certificates which are part of this proposal)

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY CERTIFICATION

(THE BIDDER'S EXECUTION ON THE SIGNATURE PORTION OF THIS PROPOSAL SHALL ALSO CONSTITUTE AN ENDORSEMENT AND EXECUTION OF THOSE CERTIFICATIONS WHICH ARE A PART OF THIS PROPOSAL)

The bidder, LECKEY LAND CLEARING, proposed subcontractor, _____, hereby certifies that he has _____, has not ✓, participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to the equal opportunity clause, as required by Executive Orders 10925, 11114, or 11246, and that where required, he has filed with the Joint Reporting Committee, the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance, a Federal Government contracting or administering agency, or the former President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity, all reports due under the applicable filing requirements.

NOTE: The above certification is required by the Equal Employment Opportunity Regulations of the Secretary of Labor (41 CFR 60-1.7(b) (1), and must be submitted by bidders and proposed subcontractors only in connection with contracts and subcontracts which are subject to the equal opportunity clause. Contracts and subcontracts which are exempt from the equal opportunity clause are set forth in 41 CFR 60-1.5. (Generally only contracts or subcontracts of $10,000 or under are exempt).

Proposed prime contractors and subcontractors who have participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to the Executive Orders and have not filed the required reports should note that 41 CFR 60-1.7(b) (1) prevents the award of contracts and subcontracts unless such contractors submits a report covering the delinquent period or such other period specified by the Federal Highway Administration or by the Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance, U.S. Department of Labor.

PUBLIC CONTRACT SECTION 10232 STATEMENT

In accordance with Public Contract Code Section 10232, the Contractor, hereby states under penalty of perjury, that no more than one final unappealable finding of contempt of court by a federal court has been issued against the Contractor within the immediately preceding two year period because of the Contractor's failure to comply with an order of a federal court which orders the Contractor to comply with an order of the National Labor Relations Board.

NOTE: The above Statement and Questionnaire are part of the Proposal. Signing this Proposal on the signature portion thereof shall also constitute signature of this Statement and Questionnaire. Bidders are cautioned that making a false certification may subject the certifier to criminal prosecution.

PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE SECTION 10285.1 STATEMENT

In conformance with *California Public Contract Code* Section 10285.1 (Chapter 376, Stats. 1985), the bidder hereby declares under penalty of perjury that the bidder has _____, has not ✓ been convicted within the preceding three (3) years of any offenses referred to in that section, including any charge of fraud, bribery, collusion, conspiracy, or any other act in violation of any state or federal antitrust law in connection with the bidding upon, award of, or performance of, any public works contract, as defined in *California Public Contract Code* Section 1101, with any public entity, as defined in *California Public Contract Code* Section 1100, including the Regents of the University of California or the Trustees of the California State University. The term "bidder" is understood to include any partner, member, officer, director, responsible managing officer, or responsible managing employee thereof, as referred to in Section 10285.1.

NOTE: The bidder must place a check mark after "has" or "has not" in one of the blank spaces provided. The above Statement is part of the Proposal. Signing this Proposal on the signature portion thereof shall also constitute signature of this Statement. Bidders are cautioned that making a false certification may subject the certifier to criminal prosecution.

PUBLIC CONTRACT CODE SECTION 10162 QUESTIONNAIRE

In accordance with *California Public Contract Code* Section 10162, the Bidder shall complete, under penalty of perjury, the following questionnaire:
Has the bidder, any officer of the bidder, or any employee of the bidder who has a proprietary interest in the bidder, ever been disqualified, removed, or otherwise prevented from bidding on, or completing a federal, state or local government project because of a violation of law or a safety regulation?

Yes ___ **No** ✓

If the answer is yes, explain the circumstances in the following space.

_____

_____

_____

NONCOLLUSION AFFIDAVIT

(Title 23 United States Code Section 112 and
Public Contract Code Section 7106)

To the
HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATION AUTHORITY

In conformance with *Title 23 United States Code* Section 112 and *California Public Contract Code* 7106 the bidder declares that the bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false or sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the public body awarding the contract of anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

NOTE: The above Noncollusion Affidavit is part of the Proposal. Signing this Proposal on the Signature Sheet thereof shall also constitute signature of this Noncollusion Affidavit. Bidders are cautioned that making a false certification may subject the certifier to criminal prosecution.

SIGNATURE SHEET

Accompanying this Proposal is BIDDER'S BOND (NOTICE: INSERT THE WORDS "CASH, CASHIER'S CHECK, CERTIFIED CHECK," OR "BIDDER'S BOND," AS THE CASE MAY BE.) in amount equal to at least ten percent of the total of the bid. ($ 213,280.00).
The names of all persons interested in the foregoing proposal as principals are as follows:

IMPORTANT NOTICE. If the bidder or other interested person is a corporation, state legal name of corporation, also names of the president, secretary, treasurer, and manager thereof; if a co-partnership, state true name of firm, also names of all individual copartners composing firm; if bidder of other interested person is an individual, state first and last names in full.

LECKEY LAND CLEARING

PRESIDENT – TROY LECKEY

SECRETARY - SHARON LECKEY

Licensed in conformance with an act providing for the registration of Contractors,

License No. 670526 Classification(s) C-21 & A

ADDENDA - This Proposal is submitted with respect to the changes to the contract included in addenda number(s). #1, #2

(Fill in addendum number(s) if addenda have been received and insert, in this Proposal, any Engineer's Estimate Sheets that were received as part of the addenda)

WARNING - If addenda have been issued by the Department and not noted above as being received by the bidder, this Proposal may be rejected.

By my signature on this proposal I certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the forgoing questionnaire and statements of *California Public Contract Code* Sections 10162, 10232 and 10285.1 and the *Title 23 United States Code*, Section 112 Non-collusion affidavit are true and correct and that the bidder has complied with the requirements of Section 8103 of the Fair Employment and Housing Commission Regulations (Chapter 5, Title 2 of the *California Administrative Code*).

Date: DEC. 18, 2014

LECKEY LAND CLEARING

Troy Leckey

PRESIDENT

Signature and Title of Bidder

Business Address 4963 MOUNTAIN LAKES BLVD., REDDING, CA 96003

Place of Business 4963 MOUNTAIN LAKES BLVD., REDDING, CA 96003

Place of Residence 1673 EL CAPITAN DR., REDDING, CA 96001

HONEY LAKE VALLEY RECREATIONAL AUTHORITY

BIDDER'S BOND

We, LECKEY LAND CLEARING as Principal,

And CONTRACTORS BONDING AND INSURANCE COMPANY as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto the Honey Lake Valley Recreation Authority, hereinafter called the HLVRA, in the penal sum of ten percent (10%) of the total amount of the bid of the Principal above named, submitted by said Principal to the HLVRA, for the work described below, for the payment of which sum in lawful money of the United States, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heir, executors, administrators, and successors, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

THE CONDITON of this obligation is such that:

WHEREAS, the Principal has submitted to the Obligee 2014 Roosevelt Pool Demolition Project, for which bids are to be opened at 66 North Lassen Street, Susanville, California on December 18, 2014.

NOW, THEREFORE, If the aforesaid Principal is awarded the contract and, within the time and manner required under the specifications, after the prescribed forms are presented to him for signature, enters into a written contract, in the form prescribed, in accordance with the bid, and files the two bonds with the HLVRA, one to guarantee faithful performance and the other to guarantee payment for labor and materials, as required by law, then this obligation shall be null and void; otherwise, it shall remain in full force an virtue.

In the event suit is brought upon this bond by the Obligee and judgment is recovered, the Surety shall pay all costs incurred by the Obligee in such suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee to be fixed by the court.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We have hereunto set our hands and seals on this 15TH day of DECEMBER, 2014.

LECKEY LAND CLEARING

Principal Troy Leckey

CONTRACTORS BONDING AND INSURANCE COMPANY

Surety

By

Attorney-in-fact COLLEEN MCHUGH

NOTE: Signatures of those executing for the Surety must be properly acknowledged.

CERTIFICATE OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

State of California

County of Lassen

On this _____ day of _____ in the year 2014 before me, _____ a notary public in and for the County and State aforesaid, personally appeared.

Known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and known to me to be the attorney-in-fact of _____ (subscribed) and acknowledged to me that he the name of the said company thereto as surety, and his own name

NOTARY PUBLIC

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CIVIL CODE § 1189

State of California

County of Shasta

On December 15, 2014 (Date) before me, Tina Baughman, Notary Public (Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer),

personally appeared Colleen McHugh (Name(s) of Signer(s)),

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

TINA BAUGHMAN
COMM. NO. 1948471
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
SHASTA COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
AUGUST 15, 2015

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature: _____ (Signature of Notary Public)

Place Notary Seal Above

OPTIONAL

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Bond

Document Date: December 15, 2014 Number of Pages: _____

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: _____

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name: _____	Signer's Name: _____
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____	☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____
☐ Individual	☐ Individual
☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General	☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General
☐ Attorney in Fact	☐ Attorney in Fact
☐ Trustee	☐ Trustee
☐ Guardian or Conservator	☐ Guardian or Conservator
☐ Other: _____	☐ Other: _____
Signer Is Representing: _____	Signer Is Representing: _____
RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER Top of thumb here	RIGHT THUMBPRINT OF SIGNER Top of thumb here

© 2010 National Notary Association • NationalNotary.org • 1-800-US NOTARY (1-800-876-6827)

Item #5907

Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company
3101 Western Ave., Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98121

POWER OF ATTORNEY
Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company

Know All Men by These Presents:

That this Power of Attorney is not valid or in effect unless attached to the bond which it authorizes executed, but may be detached by the approving officer if desired.

That **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company**, a Washington corporation, does hereby make, constitute and appoint:
Bobette S. Winton, Lana Atkins, Colleen McHugh, jointly or severally

in the City of Redding, State of California its true and lawful Agent and Attorney in Fact, with full power and authority hereby conferred, to sign, execute, acknowledge and deliver for and on its behalf as Surety, the following described bond.

Any and all bonds, undertakings, and recognizances in an amount not to exceed Ten Million and 00/100 Dollars ($10,000,000.00) for any single obligation.

The acknowledgment and execution of such bond by the said Attorney in Fact shall be as binding upon this Company as if such bond had been executed and acknowledged by the regularly elected officers of this Company.

The **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company** further certifies that the following is a true and exact copy of the Resolution adopted by the Board of Directors of **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company**, and now in force to-wit:

> **"All bonds, policies, undertakings, Powers of Attorney or other obligations of the Corporation shall be executed in the corporate name of the Corporation by the President, Secretary, any Assistant Secretary, Treasurer, or any Vice President, or by such other officers as the Board of Directors may authorize. The President, any Vice President, Secretary, any Assistant Secretary, or the Treasurer may appoint Attorneys in Fact or Agents who shall have authority to issue bonds, policies or undertakings in the name of the Corporation. The corporate seal is not necessary for the validity of any bonds, policies, undertakings, Powers of Attorney or other obligations of the Corporation. The signature of any such officer and the corporate seal may be printed by facsimile or other electronic image."**

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company** has caused these presents to be executed by its Vice President with its corporate seal affixed this 16th day of August, 2012.

CONTRACTORS BONDING AND INSURANCE COMPANY
CORPORATE
SEAL
1979
WASHINGTON

Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company

Roy C. Die — Vice President

State of Washington } SS
County of King

On this 16th day of August, 2012, before me, a Notary Public, personally appeared Roy C. Die, who being by me duly sworn, acknowledged that he signed the above Power of Attorney as the aforesaid officer of the **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company** and acknowledged said instrument to be the voluntary act and deed of said corporation.

Joseph B. Muller — Notary Public

Notary Public
State of Washington
JOSEPH B. MULLER
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
March 29, 2016

CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned officer of **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company**, a stock corporation of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached Power of Attorney is in full force and effect and is irrevocable; and furthermore, that the Resolution of the Company as set forth in the Power of Attorney, is now in force. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the **Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company** this 15TH, day of December, 2014.

Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company

Roy C. Die — Vice President

Leckey Land Clearing
Statement of Qualifications

4963 Mountain Lakes Blvd.
Redding, CA 96003
(530) 246-7699
(530) 246-7741—Fax
Contractors License #670526 C-21 & A
Small Business #14225

President: Troy Leckey
License Qualifier: Troy Leckey
Business Started: June 1986
Incorporated: January 14, 1993

Troy Leckey has been in the demolition, excavation, and shoring business for over 30 years. He worked for Alexander Land Clearing and EP Engineering as a teen and obtained his contractor's license at the age of 20. He started Leckey Land Clearing in the Manhattan Beach, CA area doing single-family house demolitions and some commercial demolition. He soon added shoring and excavation to his qualifications and was a leading contractor in the Southern California area shoring and excavating for homes in the cities of Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, and Manhattan Beach.

Leckey Land Clearing re-located to the Northern California area in 1994 and became a leader in commercial demolitions in the city of Redding. Projects include:

- City of Redding City Hall Demolition Phase I and Phase II
- City of Redding Pool Demolition (The Plunge)
- Rite-Aid Demolition at the Shasta Mall for the Macy's building
- Rite-Aid Demolition at the Downtown Mall for the Shasta College Medical Science building
- Holiday Inn Demolition—Hilltop Drive
- Foothill Water Tank Project—Demolition of (2) 1.5 million gallon concrete water tanks
- City of Anderson City Grill Demolition

Leckey Land Clearing has also done demolition projects for Cal-Fire including:

- Buckhorn Fire Station Barracks Demolition
- Whitmore Fire Station Barracks Demolition

Leckey Land Clearing is the leader in the recycling of concrete and asphalt in the Redding area as well and was a major contributor in the ability of the City of Redding to reach its goals for the State's recycling mandate.

PROJECTS

DEMOLITIONS

City of Redding Demolitions
777 Cypress Ave., Redding, CA 96001 (530) 225-4450 Becky Kraft

1995 City of Redding Demolition Phase I—consisted of demolishing multi-story, wood-framed shop buildings, storage buildings, and office building $ 78,000.00

1996 City of Redding City Demolition Phase II—consisted of demolishing multi-story, wood-framed fleet maintenance building $ 64,000.00

2001 City Pool—demolished Olympic-sized pool and kiddy pool/concrete hauled to our concrete recycling center $ 76,000.00

Other Demolitions

2000 Mt. Shasta Mall Rite-Aid Demolition
N&T Digmore, Inc. 1525 Tahoe Court, Redding, CA 96003 (530) 241-2992
Tom Taylor/Tim Runnels
Work consisted of demolishing multi-story, CMU wall, and wood-trussed roof
85,000 square feet $ 180,000.00

2004 Downtown Redding Mall Rite-Aid Demolition
Nadon Management, Inc., Drawer 245, Oak Run, CA 96069
F. Wayne Nadon (530) 472-3623—Project Manager
Michael O'Connor (530) 222-3300—Project Architect
Work consisted of demolishing multi-story, CMU wall, and wood-trussed roof
80,000 square feet $ 180,000.00

2006 Holiday Inn—Hilltop Drive, Redding, CA
Meyer Crest, 2515 Park Marina Drive, Ste. 201, Redding, CA 96001
Rod Colvin (530) 242-2010(x213)
Work consisted of demolishing multi-story building
25,000 square feet $ 65,000.00

2006 Foothill Water Tank Demolition
Stewart Engineering, Inc., P.O. Box 990010, Redding, CA 96099-0010
Keith Stewart (530) 244-8464
Work consisted of demolishing (2) 1.5 million gallon concrete water storage Tanks $73,000.00

2009 City of Anderson—City Grill Demolition
1887 Howard Street, Anderson, CA 96007
Jeff Kiser (530) 378-6636
Work consisted of demolishing multi-story, CMU walls and wood-framed Fire burnt structure
10,000 square feet $ 19,738.00

Cal Fire 6105 Airport Road, Redding, CA 96002
Lee Thomas (530) 224-2445

2010 Buckhorn Barracks Demolition—Work consisted of demolishing single-story, wood-framed barracks
2500 square feet $ 18,456.00

2011 Whitmore Barracks & Fire Capitan's House—Work consisted of demolishing single-story, wood-framed buildings
4500 square feet $ 34,380.00

UNDERGROUND WORK

2007 Sewer Main Replacement Placer and Alley West of California Street
City of Redding, 777 Cypress Avenue, Redding, CA 96001
Becky Kraft (530) 225-4450 $ 88,890.00

SITE WORK

2007 Leckey Land Clearing Construction Yard—Installed: sewer, water, electric, gas, telephone, camera, fire lines and building pad

SHORING & EXCAVATION

Western Water Constructors, Inc., 707 Aviation Blvd., Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Eli (707) 540-9678
2005 Olivehurst Wastewater Treatment Plant $ 750,000.00

2007 Lodi Wastewater Treatment Plant $ 56,283.00

2008 Grass Valley Water Treatment Plant $ 49,712.00

Ray Toney & Associates 9614 Tanqueray Court, Redding, CA 96003
Alan Toney (530) 223-1100
2008 North Market Street Lift Station $ 274,000.00